

Theory and Phenomenology of Fundamental Interactions

UNIVERSITY AND INFN . BOLOGNA

# **Bayesian Adaptive Techniques for** Quantum Optimization on NISQ devices

Dept. Physics & Astronomy - University of Bologna

with: D. Vodola, C. Sanavio, F. Dell'Anna, S. Tibaldi, C. Degli Esposti Boschi, E. Tignone

ΓNB International Foundation Big Data and A Artificial Intelligence for Human Development

Leithà Unipol Group, Workshop Quantum Computing and **High Performance Computing** December 16, 2021

Elisa Ercolessi









### **Maximal Independent Set (MIS)** problem:

find the set with the maximum number of vertices of a graph not linked to the others





• Topology of the graph is encoded in the simulator through Rydberg blockade

Assuming analog processing only (global pulses)

 $\mathcal{H}(t) = -\frac{n}{\Omega(t)}$ 



# (quantum approximate optimisation algorithm)

Farhi et al.- arXiv:1411.4028 (2014)

### quantum

- Objective function to be minimised
- Quantum evolution (circuit) with variational parameters
- Measurement scheme for average Hamiltonian to get objective function

### classical

 Optimisation algorithm to get the best values of the parameters



### • The quantum circuit $H_m = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \sum_i \sigma^x + \sum_{i < j} U_{ij} n_i n_j$ $H_c = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \sum_{i} \sigma^x - \frac{\hbar\delta}{2} \sum_{i} \sigma^z + \sum_{i < j} U_{ij} n_i n_j$ step 2 step 1 1.00 (rad/µs) 0.75 0.50 G 0.25 ch0 0.00 1.0 S 0.8 c.ad/ha 0.4 ·O 0.2

500

250

750

1000

t (ns)

0.0





Pulser emulators: signals for P=3

Time:  $T \sim 2 \mu s$ 





• On the final state, we measure the value of the objective function

$$C = -\sum_{j} n_j + P \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j \quad (P = 2)$$

• We repeat the evolution and the measure  $N_{shot}$ times to get an histogram of the probability to find each computational state, from which one gets the average value of C

### **On real computer:** TIME = $0.2 \ s \times N_{shot}$



## Classical optimisation: Bayesian approach

Use Bayesian inference to reduce the number  $N_{shot}$  of experimental acquisitions

the best point where to perform the new measurement

one, keeping only the best ones - up to convergence





- kernel constant bounds: [10E-5, 10E5]

## **RECAP OF THE PARAMETERS**

## quantum circuit

- DEPTH P=1,2,...,9 - # initial points: 10-20 - # steps: ~ 200

### differential evolution



## Analysis of results



Different P, Ntot = 200, warmup = 0.1%



## • Summary: best energy/fidelity vs. depth P





## Analysis of final state

### The ratio of counts between the solution and second most likely state





## Time (total=quantum+classical simulation)



- Differential evolution is slow, about 90% of computation time

- Total # quantum circuit evolutions:

Nshot \* Nstep



### WHAT'S NEXT?



### Optimise our software and run on Galileo 100

optimisation strategies



### - Run simulations on Pulser with (different kinds of) noise

### - Run on real atomic platform

# Benchmark Baysian approach with other classical

